

REMARKS

The Examiner objected to the Abstract. The Abstract has been amended to be in compliance with MPEP 608.01(b). A clean unmarked-up copy of the Abstract on a separate sheet is also attached.

Claims 1 and 14 have been amended to better define the invention and include the features of claim 5, now cancelled. Claims 1-15 are fully supported by the disclosure. No new matter was added by way of the present amendment.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 7, 10, 12, 14 and 15 under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by McLeod and claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 11 and 13 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over McLeod.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the features of former claim 5, indicated as allowable.

The Applicant believes that claims 2, 3 and 6-13 are also allowable as being dependent on allowable claim 1.

Claim 14 has been amended to also include the limitations of cancelled claim 5 and thus should also be allowable, as well as dependent claim 15.

In view of the amendments and the remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance and notification of same is requested.

Appl. No. 10/553,589
Amdt. dated Dec. 28, 2007
Reply to Office action of June 29, 2007

Please charge any additional fees which may be required by this communication
to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, Order No. 38891.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By

James M. Moore, Reg. No. 32923

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

Date: December 28, 2007